UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/067,843	02/08/2002	Kenji Iwano	2002_0211A	9646	
513 WENDEROTH	10/067,843 02/08/2002 Kenji lwano			EXAMINER	
2033 K STREET N. W.			TOMASZEWSKI, MICHAEL		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3626		
			WAII SATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/067,843	IWANO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mike Tomaszewski	3626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTE tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  Oly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	June 2007.				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ The	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-17 is/are pending in the ap	plication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to by	y the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap riority documents have been re	plication No			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not re	eceived.			
Attachment(s)	<u>.</u>				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application			

î

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Notice To Applicant**

1. This communication is in response to the amendment filed on 6/18/07. Claim 2 has been cancelled. Claims 1, 4, 8-11 and 15 have been amended. Claims 1 and 3-17 are pending.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Joao (6,283,761; hereinafter Joao), in view of Felsher (US 2002/0010679; hereinafter Felsher).
- (A) As per currently amended claim 1, Joao discloses a medical information system comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/067,843

Art Unit: 3626

operable to receive vital information and unique identifications, store and manage the received vital information and unique identifications in said first database such that the vital information is not associated with a corresponding unique identification, and transmit the stored and managed vital information and unique identifications, wherein said first database does not store patient data (Joao: col. 12, lines 50-67; col. 13, lines 38-51;

col. 14, lines 49-67; col. 15, lines 1-17; col. 23, lines 48-60; Fig. 1);

Page 3

- (2) a medical care provider server connected to said patient server through a first network, and comprising a second database, said medical care provider server being operable to receive the vital information, and unique identifications from said first database of said patient server through the first network, store and manage the received vital information, and unique identifications, and patient data in said second database, associate each of the unique identifications with corresponding patient data, identify corresponding patient data using each of the unique identifications, and allow the stored and managed vital information, and unique identifications, and patient data to be browsed (*Joao*: col. 12, lines 50-67; col. 13, lines 1-7 and 38-51; col. 14, lines 49-67; col. 15, lines 1-17; col. 23, lines 48-60; Fig. 1);
- (3) a patient terminal connected to said patient server through a network, said patient terminal being operable to transmit the vital information <u>and unique</u>

Application/Control Number: 10/067,843 Page 4

Art Unit: 3626

identifications to said patient server through the network (*Joao*: col. 12, lines 50-57; col. 13, lines 38-51; col. 14, lines 49-67; col. 15, lines 1-17; col. 23, lines 48-60; Fig. 1); and

(4) a doctor terminal connected to said medical care provider server through a network, said doctor terminal being operable to browse the vital information, and unique identifications, and patient data stored and managed in the medical care provider server through the network (*Joao*: col. 12, lines 57-67; col. 13, lines 1-7 and 38-51; col. 14, lines 49-67; col. 15, lines 1-17; col. 23, lines 48-60; Fig. 1).

Joao, however, fails to expressly disclose a medical information system comprising:

(13) second and third networks.

Nevertheless, these features are notoriously well known in the art, as evidenced by *Felsher*. In particular, *Felsher* discloses a medical information system according to claim 1, further comprising:

(5) second and third networks (Felsher. abstract; Fig. 1).

Examiner also notes, however, that *Joao* does teach a system having a single computer or system of computers and/or may include a plurality of computers or computer systems (i.e., networks) that are utilized in conjunction with one another (i.e., the systems are networked together) (*Joao*: col. 13, lines 42-45). As such, Examiner considers a broad yet reasonable interpretation of *Joao* to also teach Applicant's recitation of multiple networks interconnected within a larger network.

One of ordinary skill would have found it obvious at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of *Felsher* with the teachings of *Joao* with the motivation of providing a secure system for exchanging confidential information (*Felsher*: abstract).

- (B) As per previously presented claim 3, *Joao* discloses a medical information system according to claim 1, further comprising a sensor for measuring vital data, wherein the vital information includes a measurement value by said sensor (*Joao*: col. 23, lines 47-61).
- (C) As per currently amended claim 4, *Joao* discloses a medical information system according to claim 1, wherein:
  - (1) said doctor terminal is operable to transmit, consultation data, an inquiry regarding a health status of a patient to said medical care provider server

Art Unit: 3626

through the network (*Joao*: col. 31, lines 65-67; col. 32, lines 1-47; Fig. 1); and

the vital information transmitted from said patient terminal to said patient server through the network includes a reply to the inquiry transmitted to said patient terminal (*Joao*: col. 31, lines 65-67; col. 32, lines 1-47; Fig. 1).

Joao, however, fails to expressly disclose a medical information system according to claim 1, wherein:

(5) the system comprises second and third networks.

Nevertheless, these features are notoriously well known in the art, as evidenced by *Felsher*. In particular, *Felsher* discloses a medical information system according to claim 2, wherein:

(5) system comprises second and third networks (Felsher. abstract; Fig. 1).

Examiner also notes, however, that *Joao* does teach a system having a single computer or system of computers and/or may include a plurality of computers or computer systems (i.e., networks) that are utilized in conjunction with one another (i.e., the systems are networked together) (*Joao*: col. 13, lines 42-45). As such, Examiner considers a broad yet

Application/Control Number: 10/067,843

Art Unit: 3626

reasonable interpretation of *Joao* to also teach Applicant's recitation of multiple networks interconnected within a larger network.

One of ordinary skill would have found it obvious at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of *Felsher* with the teachings of *Joao* with the motivation of providing a secure system for exchanging confidential information (*Felsher*: abstract).

- (D) As per previously presented claim 5, *Joao* fails to *expressly* disclose a medical information system according to claim 1, further comprising:
  - a first unauthorized access prevention section provided in the first network;
  - (2) a second unauthorized access prevention section provided in the second network;
  - (3) a third unauthorized access prevention section provided in the third network; and
  - (4) wherein said first and third unauthorized access prevention sections have higher security levels than a security level of said second unauthorized access prevention section.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,843

Art Unit: 3626

Nevertheless, these features are old and well known in the art, as evidenced by *Felsher*. In particular, *Felsher* discloses a medical information system according to claim 1, further comprising:

- (1) a first unauthorized access prevention section provided in the first network (Felsher: ¶ [0197]);
- (2) a second unauthorized access prevention section provided in the second network (Felsher: ¶ [0197]);
- (3) a third unauthorized access prevention section provided in the third network (Felsher: ¶ [0197]); and
- (4) wherein said first and third unauthorized access prevention sections have higher security levels than a security level of said second unauthorized access prevention section (*Felsher*: ¶ [0197]).

One of ordinary skill would have found it obvious at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of *Felsher* with the teachings of *Joao* with the motivation of providing a secure system for exchanging confidential information (*Felsher*: abstract).

Examiner notes also that *Joao* teaches the use of various authorization, security and encryption techniques, technologies, and methods (*Joao*: col. 15, lines 54-58; col. 40, lines 51-60).

Application/Control Number: 10/067,843 Page 9

Art Unit: 3626

(E) As per previously presented claim 6, *Joao* fails to *expressly* disclose a medical information system according to claim 5, wherein:

- said first unauthorized access prevention section comprises a firewall and a virtual private network;
- (2) said second unauthorized access prevention section comprises a remote access server; and
- (3) said third unauthorized access prevention section comprises a terminal authentication server.

Nevertheless, these features are old and well known in the art, as evidenced by *Felsher*. In particular, *Felsher* discloses a medical information system according to claim 5, wherein:

- (1) said first unauthorized access prevention section comprises a firewall and a virtual private network (*Felsher*: ¶ [0228]);
- (2) said second unauthorized access prevention section comprises a remote access server (Felsher: ¶ [0228]); and
- (3) said third unauthorized access prevention section comprises a terminal authentication server (*Felsher*: ¶ [0228]).

Examiner notes also that *Joao* teaches the use of various authorization, security and encryption techniques, technologies, and methods (*Joao*: col. 15, lines 54-58; col. 40, lines 51-60) and therefore, *Joao* strongly suggests the aforementioned features above.

One of ordinary skill would have found it obvious at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of *Felsher* with the teachings of *Joao* with the motivation of providing a secure system for exchanging confidential information (*Felsher*. abstract).

- (F) As per previously presented claim 7, *Joao* discloses a medical information system according to claim 1, wherein the patient server and said medical care provider server are respectively clustered (*Joao*: abstract; col. 3, lines 33-53; Fig. 1).
- (G) Claims 8-17 substantially repeat the same limitations as those of claims 1-7 and therefore, are rejected for the same reasons given for those claims and incorporated herein.

# Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 6/18/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments will be addressed hereinbelow in the order in which they appear in the response filed 6/18/07.

Page 11

(A) On page 15 of the 6/18/07 response, Applicant argues that Joao does not describe a server having a first database such that the vital information and unique information in the first database such that the vital information is not associated with the corresponding unique identification, wherein the first database does not store patient data.

In response to applicant's argument, Examiner notes that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

(B) On pages 15-16 of the 6/18/07 response, Applicant argues that Felsher teaches away from Joao, and as such, supports the non-obviousness of the invention and thus, there is no suggestion or reason to make the proposed combination of references.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, Examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

Art Unit: 3626

In the instant case, one of ordinary skill would have found it obvious at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of *Felsher* with the teachings of *Joao* with the motivation of providing a secure system for exchanging confidential information

(C) Applicant's remaining arguments in the response filed 6/18/07 rely on or re-hash the issues addressed above or in previous Office Actions and therefore, are moot in view of the responses previously given and incorporated herein.

### Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,843 Page 13

Art Unit: 3626

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Tomaszewski whose telephone number is (571)272-8117. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00 am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas can be reached on (571)272-6776. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MT M

JEHERY A. SMITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600